Colour preferences in sand dune insects found on ice plant flowers
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Introduction

The ice plant (Carpobrotus edulis (L.), family Aizoaceae) is a succulent perennial native to South Africa that has been introduced widely around the world to prevent erosion of sandy or loose soils. At New Brighton sand dunes near Christchurch, dense mats of C. edulis now form part of a flora dominated by exotic plants, including marram grass (Ammophila arenaria L.), tree lupin (Lupinus arboreus Sims) and purple ragwort (Senecio elegans L.).

Exotic plants frequently provide acceptable habitats for diverse assemblages of insects and spiders (e.g. Prasad & Hodge 2013). The abundance and diversity of nectar- and pollen-feeding insects can show positive responses to exotic plants that provide extended flowering periods to native plant species (Showler 1989; Stary and Tkalcu 1998; Neinhuis et al. 2009; Vila et al. 2009). Other insects, such as ground beetles, have exhibited negative responses to the presence of exotic plant species in their habitat (e.g. Topp et al. 2008).

Flower-visiting insects frequently exhibit preferences for certain flower characteristics, such as shape, scent and colour, and it is often assumed there is some adaptive role to flower selection in terms of improved insect fitness. Flower colour is one of a range of signals plants use to attract pollinators and insects can learn to associate flower colour with a high quality resource (Raine & Chittka 2007).
At New Brighton, a number of species of arthropods have been observed visiting ice plant flowers, including endemic Coleoptera (*Lagrioida brouni* Pascoe; *Inophloeus rubidus* Broun) and native arachnids (*Cheiracanthium strationicum* Koch; *Oxyopes gracilipes* (White, 1849)) (Hodge et al. 2017).

At least two colour forms of ice plant flowers occur at New Brighton: a common yellow form and a less common pink/purple form (Figure 1). This study compared overall arthropod occupation rates of the two flower colours and assessed whether individual insect species exhibited a preference for either colour form.

**Figure 1.** Two colour forms of the iceplant, *Carpobrotus edulis*, at New Brighton sand dunes

**Methods**

A total of 3360 yellow flowers and 240 purple flowers of *Carpobrotus edulis* were inspected for arthropods at New Brighton sand dunes (−43.522, 172.736) between 9 November and 10 December 2014 (Hodge et al. 2017). This highly unbalanced sampling was undesirable, but reflects the unequal abundance of the two colour forms at this site. Insects and spiders were collected from flowers using a battery operated aspirator, with the exception of bumblebees which were identified to species on site.

The native New Zealand ice plant *Disphyma crassifolium*, which has smaller (2–4 cm diameter) white or pink flowers and a more slender (4 mm), cylindrical stem compared to *C. edulis*, also occurs at New Brighton.
(Chinnock 1972). *Carpobotus edulis* has flowers of 8–10 cm diameter and much thicker (12 mm) and angular stems than the native species. We are confident that no ‘pure’ *D. crassifolium* were sampled but cannot rule out that some hybrids with intermediate properties of the two species may have been included in the survey.

Overall occupancy rates and occupancy by the three most commonly encountered insect species, on yellow or purple flowers were compared using Fisher’s Exact test.

**Results**

A total of 478 individual arthropods belonging to 32 species were recorded on *C. edulis* flowers (Hodge et al. 2017: Appendix). The overall occupancy rate of flowers was 10%, but yellow flowers (10.4%) were over twice as likely to have arthropods compared to purple flowers (4.6%) (Fisher’s exact test, P = 0.002) (Table 1).

The most commonly-occurring species was a pollen beetle in the family Melyridae, close to the genus *Dasytes* sp., which made up 58% of the total invertebrate numbers. The bumble bee *Bombus terrestris* (15% of records) and a long-horned grasshopper, *Conocephalus bilineatus* (8% of records) were also relatively common in the collections.

*Dasytes* sp. displayed a strong (i.e. fourteen-fold) preference for yellow flowers over purple in terms of presence-absence occupancy (Table 1). Conversely, *Bombus terrestris* exhibited a less-dramatic (≈ 2-fold) but statistically significant preference for purple flowers. The equally substantial colour preference (2-fold) displayed by *C. bilineatus* for yellow flowers was not identified as being statistically significant (Table 1). The ambiguity of this last result reflects the smaller sample size obtained for this species, and more data are required to clarify whether this species exhibits a real colour preference or not.
Table 1. Levels of occupancy (%) of yellow (n = 3360) and purple (n = 240) ice plant flowers at New Brighton sand dunes. P-value obtained from Fisher’s Exact Test based on raw count data of occupied and unoccupied flowers of each colour form.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation (%)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Yellow</th>
<th>Purple</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total arthropods</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.39</td>
<td>4.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Dasytes’ sp.</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bombus terrestris</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conocephalus bilineatus</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The strongest flower colour preference by a single insect species was that of *Dasytes* sp. for yellow ice plant flowers, which is similar to that described for other species of pollen beetles (e.g. *Meligethes* in Doring et al. 2012). The preference for purple flowers by *Bombus terrestris* is also similar to previous studies where a bias towards violet or blue flowers has been described for this species (Raine & Chittka 2007).

Exhibiting a colour preference suggests that visiting flowers of one colour are perceived as more profitable to the insect than visiting flowers of the other. We did not perform any analysis to distinguish whether the purple or yellow flowers contained different amounts of resources such as pollen or nectar. Indeed, as no consistent colour preference was identified across species, these benefits appear to vary among different insect species.

Assemblages of pollinators and other plant-visiting insects are often sampled using pan traps, the colour of which can influence both the abundance and species composition of the animals obtained (Campbell & Hanula 2007; Vrdoljak & Samway 2012). The results of our study suggest that using any one colour of pan trap to study the flower-visiting insects at New Brighton dunes would lead to significant under-collecting of some
species, and a range of trap colours is required to obtain satisfactory coverage of all the insect species present.

We focussed on the arthropods visiting one species of flower; there are a number of other species of colourful flowers present at New Brighton dunes and potentially hundreds of species of insects that may choose to visit them (MacFarlane 2005). Further study is required to build a more complete picture of the networks of flower-visiting insects that occur at this site and also to provide more information on the use of exotic plant species by endemic New Zealand insects.
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APPENDIX

List of arthropod species observed on ice plant flowers at New Brighton (see Hodge et al 2017 for further details).

En – endemic; Na – native; In – introduced.

**COLEOPTERA:** Anthicidae Lagrioida brouni Pascoe, 1876 En; Chrysomelidae Bruchidius villosus (Fabricius, 1792) In; Coccinellidae Coccinella undecimpunctata (Linnaeus, 1758) In; Curculionidae Inophloeus rubidus Broun, 1881 En; Melyridae ‘Dasytes’ sp. En; Scarabaeidae Pyronota sp. En. **DIPTERA** Agromyzidae Cerodontha australis Malloch, 1925 Na; Bibionidae Dilophus nigrostigma (Walker, 1848) Na; Calliphoridae Calliphora vicina Robineau-Desvoidy, 1830 In; Canacidae Tethinosoma fulvifrons (Hutton, 1901) Na; Chironomidae Chironomus sp. Meigen, 1803 Na; Chloropidae Apotropina tonnoiri (Sabrosky, 1955) Na; Chloropidae Aphanotrigonum huttoni (Malloch, 1931) Na; Dolichopodidae Parentia sp. Hardy, 1935 Na; Drosophilidae Drosophila pseudoobscura Frol. & Ast., 1929 In; Drosophila simulans Sturtevant, 1919 Na; Drosophilidae Scaptomyza sp. Hardy, 1950 In; Empidae Thinempis sp. Bickel, 1996 Na; Ephydridae Psilopa metallica (Hutton, 1901) Na; Hecamede granifera Thompson, 1869 In; Ephydridae Hydrellia tritici Coquillett, 1903 Na; Syrphidae Platycheirus sp. Le Peletier & Serville, 1828 Na; Teratomyzidae Teratomyza neozelandica Malloch, 1933 En. **HEMIPTERA** Psyllidae. **HYMENOPTERA** Apidae Bombus terrestris (Linnaeus, 1758) In; Braconidae; Eulophidae. Lepidoptera Tortricidae. **ORTHOPTERA** Tettigoniidae Conocephalus bilineatus (Erichson, 1842) Na. **ARACHNIDA** Eutichuridae Cheiracanthium stratioticum Koch, 1873 Na; Lynphiidae Microctenonyx subitaneus (Pickard-Cambridge, 1875) In; Oxyopidae Oxyopes gracilipes (White, 1849) Na.